

August 17, 2018; 3:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.

Attendees: Paul Layer Fred Villa Karen Carey Priscilla Schulte
Teri Cothren Chris Fallen Susan Kalina Anupma Prakash
Kelly James (scribe)

1. Safety Minute – Paul – School is starting, kids everywhere. Watch for buses.
2. Approve 7/20/18 meeting summary – All, 2 min. () – No objections.
3. July 20, 2018 Action Item Update – Paul, 5 min.

ACTION ITEM: Kelly will submit Regulation Revision R10.02.040, Academic Unit Establishment, Major Revision and Elimination, to the president for approval. –

different profiles. The idea would be to fund a single staff position to run the platform system-wide to set up profiles. This eliminates the burden on the one-person offices in UAF and UAS. Would also make it easier for employers to work with UA. Handshake has offered to add UAS and UAF and commit to a flat rate for 3 years. UAS and UAF are willing to commit 3 years of funding for the platform. Would still need funding for the position and one-time funding for marketing to build the platform and branding. Anupma – Who is the UAF person? Becca Olson is working with Keni. Keith is also on the council. He is in connection with Becca and can answer the questions. Paul – Are the other two campuses interested in participating as a consortium? Yes. How much would each be willing to contribute? Each campus may help subsidize the position in Anchorage. Paul would like the campuses to take this on, not a position through SW. Anupma and Keith to work on this and Karen and Joe to work on UAS's part. Susan – Is concerned about the additional costs. Are there ever times when SW picks up the bill for a position if it is beneficial to the whole system? Fred – This is a platform that can help students towards the end of their education career and the beginning of their working career. Paul – Ownership from the campuses gives support to the project. Teri – The budget cycle was a concern. The universities want to move forward, but funding was a concern. Is asking for funding from SW to start the first 1-2 years.

12. Revisions to UA Regulation R03.01 Authority – Paul, 5 min. () – Faculty & Governance is requesting the regulation to better align with the current practice. Cleaning up regulation to better match current practice – no objections by the Academic Council. ACTION: Kelly will send UA Regulation R03.01 Authority through Action Transmittal.
13. Review revisions to UA Regulation R10.04.040 General Education Requirements – Paul, 5 min. () – Dan Kline with support across the system – looked at the categories and sought to clarify the language and being more clear with the minimum credit distributions. Leah Buhrman explained to Paul the need to do this. Chris – Many people/committees working on this for a very long time. ACTION:

language was removed, it eliminated the potential for some areas to receive the award. In addition, because of the Title IX and Me Too movement, there have been some things brought to light that are less than meritorious. How do we word the ability to revoke the privilege should the need arise? ACTION: Add to next agenda. – Staff Alliance questioned who evaluates the staff? Faculty are structured and are generally better known among their peers. A staff member is more isolated in their roles. They want to be able to award the truly meritorious staff and not just give an award.

17. Annual Academic Program and Accreditation Review – Paul, 10 min. – The 3 provost to submit their reviews. Susan – Feels these are very useful. What is your role in student learning? It is important for the board to see that this is happening. Would like a discussion on how to frame this prior to next year's report. We do not want the board to micromanage, but they need to have the board engaged. The board will be asked questions of by the accreditation team.
18. eLearning Subgroup Committee Charter – Karen C, 5 min. (attachment) – Karen – We have completed the charter, which has been finalized by the subcommittee. Has had a presentation by Eduventures. Will be exploring this further. There will be no wholesaling of any programs to the vendor, unless requested by the program. ACTION: Paul encourages the council to look at the eLearning charter and provide feedback to Karen. Paul would also like to show this to the president for his comments, and then return for final approval. Priscilla – likes how the charter also touches on retention, which is something that has been worked on for a while.
19. Faculty Alliance update – Chris, 5 min. – FA has not met all summer. First meeting will be Friday. Retreat will be following the BOR meeting in Juneau. They will take another look at the constitution and bi-laws. Will also take the opportunity to assess the alignment process and take care of the loose ends. Does the existing structure still make sense? Does the membership still make sense? Last year, there was a faculty moral survey and will receive a report on that. Alaska Native Theme requirement –

